



Briefing Paper 1:

Findings from a Systematic Review of DVFR/DHRs' Processes and Recommendations

Jones, C., Bracewell, K., Clegg, A., Stanley, N., & Chantler, K.

www.domestichomicide-halt.co.uk

Introduction & Aims

Globally deaths from domestic homicide are estimated to be 50,000 annually (UNODC, 2019) with further increases anticipated due to continuing austerity and restrictions from the global COVID-19 pandemic (Grierson, 2020a; Rahim, 2019; WHO, 2020).

Women continue to be the victims in most cases (UN Women, 2020). Domestic Violence Fatality Reviews/Domestic Homicide Reviews (DVFR/DHR) were first introduced in the 1990s and aim to reduce domestic homicide (DH). These multi-agency reviews identify lessons learned and make recommendations to improve policy and practice.

Our systematic review addresses three key questions:

1 What recommendations are made from DVFR/DHRs internationally?

- **2** What is the impact of these recommendations?; and
- **3** What recommendations are made about the processes of DVFR/DHRs?

This systematic review provides valuable messages concerning case selection, panel membership and funding mechanisms for jurisdictions currently in the process of introducing DVFR/DHR's and for those seeking to refine and strengthen current processes and outcomes.

Methods



(n = 11)

11

Electronic databases (n=11) were searched for articles published in English (2001 - August 2019) which focused on international DVFR/DHRs processes and initiatives.



16+ yrs

The focus was on adults aged 16+ years who were killed by a family member/(ex) partner



After robust screening, 11 studies were included in the final review.

Findings



Recommendations made by DVFR/DHRs focus on: DVA training and awareness and DH; provision and coordination of services; children impacted by DVA and DH.



Recommendations on DVFR/DHR processes emphasise: the importance of standardizing data collection, diverse team membership, child involvement, and consideration of ethical dilemmas arising from review team members' potential conflict of interests. DVFR/DHR team members should consider that stereotypes and a lack of cultural sensitivity may influence the review process.



Impact of DVFR/DHRs: There is no evidence that DHRs/DVFRs reduce domestic homicide. The lack of evidence regarding impact may be due to a failure to monitor and evaluate the implementation of recommendations and reduced resources.





Conclusions

Organisational & Professional Responses

Organisational and professionals' responses to DVA are central to operationalising the learning from DVFR/DHRs. This includes training, interagency working, as well as relationships between these organisations and the community. The wider socio-political context also shapes DVA service provision and the ability to implement recommendations.

The potential to improve public awareness and responsiveness

DVFR/DHR findings have the potential to improve public awareness and responsiveness to DVA. Publishing DVFR/DHR figures and narratives highlights the gendered nature of DVA and provides a powerful driver for governments to introduce relevant legislation and resource DVA services.

Implications for Practice, Policy, and Research

- **1. Greater investment is needed** in frontline services, review processes and in implementation of review recommendations to respond to DVA and DHs.
- 2. Common guidance or principles for review teams could improve intra-country, cross-country and international knowledge transfer.
- **3. Improved monitoring** is needed to understand if DVFR/DHR recommendations are implemented and barriers or facilitators to implementation.
- **4. National repository of DVFR/DHRs:** Several studies reviewed recommended a repository OF DVFR/DHRs to maximise learning.

The principal Investigator for the study is Prof. Khatidja Chantler, Manchester Metropolitan University.

HALT

Telephone: 0161 247 1316 Email: <u>k.chantler@mmu.ac.uk</u> Email: contact@domestichomicide-halt.co.uk

www.domestichomicide-halt.co.uk

Manchester Metropolitan University, Faculty of Health, Psychology and Social Care School of Nursing Brooks Building (4th Floor) 53 Bonsall St Manchester M15 6GX



- 1. Greater investment
- 2. Common Guidance
- 3. National Repository











